

ORIGINAL  
OPEN MEETING



MEMORANDUM RECEIVED

410

Arizona Corporation Commission 2005 JUN -1 P 1:40

TO: THE COMMISSION **DOCKETED**

FROM: Utilities Division

JUN - 1 2005

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCUMENT CONTROL

DATE: June 1, 2005

DOCKETED BY	<i>RW</i>
-------------	-----------

RE: IN THE MATTER OF ADOPTING EXPANDED ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR LIFELINE AND LINK-UP AND CERTIFICATION, VERIFICATION AND RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS (DOCKET NO. T-00000A-05-0380)

On April 29, 2004, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") released a *Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*<sup>1</sup> ("Lifeline Order") which expands Lifeline and Link-Up eligibility criterion based upon the recommendations of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service. On December 21, 2000, the FCC asked the Joint Board to review the current Lifeline/Link-Up program, including income eligibility criteria. The Joint Board issued its Recommended Decision on April 2, 2003. In its Decision, the Joint Board recommended several changes to improve the effectiveness of the low-income support mechanism.

Lifeline/Link-up is one of the universal service support mechanisms established by the FCC to further the goals of 47 U.S.C. Section 254(b). Lifeline is a program that allows low-income customers to subscribe to basic local exchange services at discounted rates. Link-Up is a program that reduces the carrier's normal charge for connecting service. Under the FCC's rules adopted in 1997, all Eligible Telecommunications Carriers ("ETCs") are required to make Lifeline and Link-Up service available to their low-income customers.

Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up service is based upon the customer's participation in certain means-tested programs. In 1997, the FCC adopted a list of certain means-tested programs which were referred to as the "federal default eligibility criteria". A customer was eligible if it participated in any one of the following means-tested federal programs: Medicaid, Food Stamps, Supplemental Security Income ("SSI"), Federal Public Housing Assistance (Section 8) ("FPHA"), or the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program ("LIHEAP")<sup>2</sup>.

In 1997, this Commission designated all of the State's incumbent local exchange carriers as ETCs and as part of the same orders adopted the federal default eligibility criteria for Arizona's Lifeline and Link-Up programs. There are also two income-based state programs in Arizona, the Qwest Telephone Assistance Program for the Medically Needy ("TAP") and the Senior Discount Program ("ALITAP") provided for under A.R.S. 46-701 *et seq.*

<sup>1</sup> *Lifeline and Link-Up Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, WC Docket No. 03-109, FCC 04-87 (rel. April 29, 2004).

<sup>2</sup> See *Lifeline Order* at para. 7.

Since that time, the Commission has designated, *inter alia*, several wireless carriers as ETCs and as part of that designation required the carriers to offer Lifeline and Link-Up services to qualifying applicants, including but not limited to the provision of wireless services to customers living on tribal lands. In its Twelfth Report and Order, the FCC adopted more expansive Lifeline/Link-Up eligibility criteria for low-income consumers living on tribal lands.

**New Eligibility Criteria:** The FCC's Lifeline Order adopts several of the Joint Board's recommendations intended to increase current participation levels, including a new federal default income-based criteria allowing participation if the consumer's income is at or below 135 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines ("FPG").<sup>3</sup> The FCC estimates that adding an income-based criterion of 135 percent of the FPG could result in approximately 1.17 million to 1.29 million new Lifeline/Link-Up subscribers. Of these new subscribers, approximately one in five will be new subscribers to telephone service. States, such as Arizona, which have their own end-user assistance programs and are not federal default states may adopt their own income threshold.

The FCC's Lifeline Order also adopted two more program-based criteria, the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families program ("TANF") and the National School Lunch's free lunch program ("NSL") to its current list of the five program-based criteria discussed above.

Arizona ETCs should be required to incorporate these additional eligibility criteria into their Lifeline and Link-Up tariffs. For income-based eligibility, Staff recommends that the Commission adopt a threshold of 150 percent of the FPG, which is consistent with Qwest's TAP program and most of the State's other customer assistance programs which utilize 150 percent of the FPG.<sup>4</sup>

**Additional Requirements:** The FCC's Lifeline Order also contains requirements pertaining to dispute resolution procedures, certification procedures, verification procedures, recordkeeping procedures, and outreach.

**Dispute Resolution Procedures:** In states that lack dispute resolution procedures applicable to Lifeline termination, the FCC adopted the Joint Board's recommendation that requires ETCs which have a reasonable basis to believe that a customer no longer qualifies for Lifeline to notify the consumer of his or her impending termination of Lifeline benefits and implement a 60-day period of time in which to demonstrate continued eligibility.

In Arizona, the Department of Economic Security ("DES"), administers Qwest's Lifeline, TAP and ALITAP programs. It is Staff's understanding that DES does not administer the other

---

<sup>3</sup> In 1996 Congress passed "the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act" which instituted sweeping changes to several federal public assistance programs, including time limits and work requirements backed by sanctions. Participation is decreasing in many public assistance programs, including at least one program used to determine eligibility for Lifeline/Link-Up. At the same time, poverty rates in the U. S. are increasing by the traditional measure.

<sup>4</sup> See footnote 31 of the FCC Lifeline Order

## THE COMMISSION

June 1, 2005

Page 3

ETCs Lifeline programs, but does administer their ALITAP programs. Staff is of the understanding that DES utilizes a notification or verification process similar to the dispute resolution process adopted by the FCC for federal default states, but with a 45-day time period.

Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the FCC process for default states to be utilized by all Arizona ETCs for all end-user assistance programs.<sup>5</sup> Under the FCC's process, the ETC would be required to notify consumers of their impending termination of Lifeline benefits by sending a termination of Lifeline benefits notice in a letter separate from the customer's bill. If a consumer receives such a termination notice, the consumer would have up to 60 days from the date of the termination letter in which to demonstrate his or her continued eligibility before Lifeline support is discontinued. If a dispute remains between the customer and the carrier on the customer's continued eligibility, the customer can utilize this Commission's dispute resolution or complaint process (A.A.C. R14-2-510) to resolve the dispute.

**Certification Procedures:** Certification occurs at the time an individual is applying to enroll in Lifeline/Link-Up.

The FCC determined that no changes were required to certification procedures for consumers qualifying under program-based criteria. Current rules require self-certification, under penalty of perjury, for federal default states, and allow states operating their own Lifeline/Link-Up programs to devise more strict measures as they deem appropriate.

Under the federal default criteria, for consumers qualifying under the new income-based criteria, the certification must be accompanied by supporting documentation **at enrollment**. The supporting documentation can include the prior year's state, federal or tribal tax return, current income statements from an employer or paycheck stub, a Social Security statement of benefits, a Veterans Administration statement of benefits, a retirement/pension statement of benefits, an Unemployment/Workmen's Compensation statement of benefits, federal or tribal notice letter of participation in Bureau of Indian Affairs General Assistance, a divorce decree, or child support document.

Under the federal default criteria, if a consumer chooses to submit any thing other than a previous year's state income tax return, the consumer must present three consecutive months worth of the same type of statements within that calendar year. Further, an officer of the ETC enrolling the consumer in Lifeline/Link-Up must certify, under penalty of perjury, that the ETC has procedures in place to review income documentation and that, to the best of his or her knowledge, the company was presented with documentation that the consumer's household income is at or below 135 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. ETCs need only retain records of their self-certifications and those made by the applicant, and not all of the consumer's corroborating documentation. In addition, applicants qualifying under an income-based criterion are required to self-certify, under penalty of perjury, the number of individuals in their

---

<sup>5</sup> Thus it will be necessary for DES to incorporate a 60 day period in lieu of the 45 day period it now uses.

THE COMMISSION

June 1, 2005

Page 4

households because the Federal Poverty Guidelines change depending upon the number of individuals in a household.

Arizona ETCs shall ensure that procedures are in place to meet the minimum certification processes. These processes shall be put in place as quickly as possible but no later than 120 days from the effective date of this Commission's Order on this matter. All Arizona ETCs (or DES as the case may be) shall be required to adopt certification procedures that provide for self-certification by the subscriber with supporting documentation provided at the time of enrollment.<sup>6</sup>

Finally, the FCC encouraged, but did not require, states to adopt automatic enrollment as a means of certifying that consumers are eligible for Lifeline/Link-Up. The Joint Board in its recommendation observed that participation rates for Lifeline/Link-Up increased in states that employed automatic enrollment as a means of certifying that consumers are eligible for Lifeline/Link-Up.<sup>7</sup> The FCC did not make this a requirement because automatic enrollment could impose significant administrative, technological, and financial burdens on states and ETCs. It is Staff's understanding that the current DES certification process is an effective one and the benefits associated with the development of an on-line certification process are uncertain at this time. Nonetheless, as discussed below, we ask Arizona ETCs to meet with DES to discuss the benefits associated with implementation of an on-line process in Arizona, and report back to the Commission.

**Verification Procedures:** On an ongoing basis, states are required to establish procedures to verify consumers' continued eligibility for the Lifeline/Link-Up program under both program and income-based eligibility criteria. Verification is necessary to ensure that the low-income support mechanism is updated, accurate, and carefully targeted to provide support only to eligible consumers. DES administers all of Qwest's end-user assistance programs, which for Lifeline and ALITAP include annual verifications for each customer. For TAP, subsequent verification is dependent upon the doctor's initial certification period.

All Arizona ETCs shall either verify each customers eligibility annually, or shall utilize the federal default requirement which obligates ETCs to verify annually the continued eligibility of a statistically valid sample of its Lifeline subscribers. ETCs may either obtain the necessary information from the state, or they can survey the subscriber directly and provide the results of the sample to the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC").

For program-based eligible customers, proof of continued eligibility may be made in person or by sending a copy of his or her Medicaid card or other Lifeline-qualifying public

---

<sup>6</sup> Since Arizona has its own Lifeline program, ETCs have some discretion in defining income for certification purposes. ETCs shall be required to adopt a uniform definition of income, for purposes of this requirement, with DES' input.

<sup>7</sup> Massachusetts, Texas, New York, New Jersey, Nevada, and Ohio are examples of states utilizing automatic enrollment in their Lifeline/Link-Up programs.

assistance card and self-certifying, under penalty of perjury, that they continue to participate in the Lifeline-qualifying public assistance program.

For income-based eligible customers, proof of continued eligibility may be made by presenting current documentation consistent with the federal default certification process discussed above. These subscribers must also self-certify, under penalty of perjury, the number of individuals in their household and that the documentation presented accurately represents their annual household income.

All Arizona ETCs shall ensure that any necessary verification sampling be provided to USAC within 120 days from the effective date of this Order. All Arizona ETCs shall implement these new verification procedures as soon as possible but no later than 120 days from the effective date of this Order.

The FCC encouraged, but did not require, states to adopt on-line verification systems, given the effectiveness and efficiency of verifying eligibility via on-line databases. Despite the benefits of on-line verification, the FCC recognized that current financial constraints may make it difficult for some states to implement on-line verification. As discussed at the end of this memo, ETCs should be required to meet with DES to discuss the potential benefits of an on-line system and report back to the Commission on this issue as well as the other issues identified in the paragraph.

**Implementation and Recordkeeping:** For ETCs that administer their own end-user assistance programs, Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the federal default recordkeeping requirement which requires ETCs to retain ETC and consumer certifications regarding a consumer's eligibility for Lifeline for as long as the consumer receives Lifeline service from that ETC or until the ETC is audited by the Administrator. ETCs must also keep records of compliance with all FCC and Commission requirements governing the Lifeline/Link-Up programs. Such records should include, *inter alia*, documents demonstrating that ETCs have passed through the appropriate discounts to qualifying consumers, proof of advertising of Lifeline/Link-Up service, and billing records for Lifeline customers. All ETCs must retain such documentation for the three full preceding calendar years. Staff anticipates that DES will comply with any necessary recordkeeping requirements that are imposed by the FCC for continued state participation in the programs and the receipt of federal funds. All ETCs shall be required to certify to this Commission that they are in compliance with all state and federal requirements on an annual basis.

**Outreach:** Currently, there are no specific federal outreach guidelines. The FCC notes in its Lifeline Order that according to an August 2000 report by the Telecommunications Industries Analysis Project, the Lifeline/Link-Up take rate almost tripled from 13.1 percent to 39.6 percent when states implemented outreach initiatives designed to increase telephone penetration and participation. In its Lifeline Order, the FCC did not require specific outreach procedures for states, but instead provided guidelines for states and carriers so that they could adopt their own specific standards and engage in outreach as they see fit.

THE COMMISSION

June 1, 2005

Page 6

The following outreach guidelines were adopted by the FCC: (1) states and carriers should utilize outreach materials and methods designed to reach households that do not currently have telephone service; (2) states and carriers should develop outreach advertising that can be read or accessed by any sizeable non-English speaking populations within a carrier's services area; and (3) states and carriers should coordinate their outreach efforts with governmental agencies/tribes that administer any of the relevant government assistance programs.

All Arizona ETCs should be required to follow the FCC's outreach program. Within one year from the effective date of the Commission's Decision, all Arizona ETCs shall file a report with the Commission which identifies the results of the carrier's outreach programs utilizing these guidelines.

**Summary of Recommendations:** Arizona ETCs maintain tariffs with the Commission which establish the terms and conditions for the provision of Lifeline and Link-Up services. These tariffs should be kept in compliance with the requirements established herein and as established in 47 U.S.C Part 54. All carriers who are ETCs shall amend the Lifeline and Link-Up provisions in their tariff to reflect compliance with the FCC's Lifeline Order and this Decision. The amended tariffs shall be filed within 60 days from the date of Commission's Order in this matter, and in addition to current requirements, provide for the following as discussed above:

1. Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up services if the consumer's household income is at or below 150 percent of Federal Poverty Guidelines.
2. Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up services based upon participation in the TANF and NSL programs.
3. Minimum certification procedures discussed herein including self-certification by the subscriber with supporting documentation provided at the time of enrollment. Adoption of a uniform definition of income for certification, with input from DES.
4. Minimum verification procedures discussed herein including either annual verification or a statistically valid sample performed on an annual basis. Any required sampling shall be performed within 120 days of the effective date of this Order and submitted to USAC.
5. A record retention policy as discussed herein and in paragraph 21 of the FCC's Lifeline Order, to the extent the carrier rather than DES administers these programs.
6. A report to the Commission within 1 year from the effective date of this order which identifies the outreach programs implemented by the carrier and discusses their impact on subscribership levels.

THE COMMISSION

June 1, 2005

Page 7

In addition, Staff recommends that Arizona ETCs meet with DES within 30 days from the effective date of this Order for the purpose of exploring the development of an electronic interface between DES and the carrier that allows low-income individuals to automatically enroll in Lifeline/Link-Up following enrollment in a qualifying public assistance program. The ETCs should also explore with DES the development of on-line verification systems. The ETCs should report back to the Commission within six (6) months of the effective date of this Decision with recommendations on the following: 1) whether the development of an electronic interface would be beneficial in Arizona, 2) how other states on line electronic interfaces operate; 3) whether such interfaces have had an impact on subscribership rates in these other states; 4) cost recovery options to cover the costs of any on-line interfaces of this nature; 5) whether centralized administration of all ETC end-user assistance programs by DES would be beneficial; and 6) outreach programs that should be implemented to increase subscribership in Arizona.

Staff recommends the Commission approve expansion of Lifeline and Link-Up eligibility criteria to include an income-based criterion and additional means-tested programs. Staff further recommends the Commission approve the Lifeline and Link-Up certification, termination and dispute resolution, verification, recordkeeping and outreach procedures discussed herein.



for Ernest G. Johnson  
Director  
Utilities Division

EGJ:RLB:lhM\MAS

ORIGINATOR: Richard Boyles

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

JEFF HATCH-MILLER  
Chairman  
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL  
Commissioner  
MARC SPITZER  
Commissioner  
MIKE GLEASON  
Commissioner  
KRISTIN K. MAYES  
Commissioner

IN THE MATTER OF ADOPTING  
EXPANDED ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR  
LIFELINE AND LINK-UP AND  
CERTIFICATION, VERIFICATION AND  
RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS

DOCKET NO. T-00000A-05-0380  
DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
ORDER

Open Meeting  
June 14 and 15, 2005  
Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. On April 29, 2004, the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) released a *Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*<sup>1</sup> (“Lifeline Order”) which expands Lifeline and Link-Up eligibility criterion based upon the recommendations of the Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service. On December 21, 2000, the FCC asked the Joint Board to review the current Lifeline/Link-Up program, including income eligibility criteria. The Joint Board issued its Recommended Decision on April 2, 2003. In its Decision, the Joint Board recommended several changes to improve the effectiveness of the low-income support mechanism.

2. Lifeline/Link-up is one of the universal service support mechanisms established by the FCC to further the goals of 47 U.S.C. Section 254(b). Lifeline is a program that allows low-income customers to subscribe to basic local exchange services at discounted rates. Link-Up is a program that reduces the carrier’s normal charge for connecting service. Under the FCC’s rules

<sup>1</sup> *Lifeline and Link-Up Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking*, WC Docket No. 03-109, FCC 04-87 (rel. April 29, 2004).

1 adopted in 1997, all Eligible Telecommunications Carriers (“ETCs”) are required to make Lifeline  
2 and Link-Up service available to their low-income customers.

3 3. Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up service is based upon the customer’s  
4 participation in certain means-tested programs. In 1997, the FCC adopted a list of certain means-  
5 tested programs which were referred to as the “federal default eligibility criteria”. A customer was  
6 eligible if it participated in any one of the following means-tested federal programs: Medicaid,  
7 Food Stamps, Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”), Federal Public Housing Assistance (Section  
8 8) (“FPHA”), or the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (“LIHEAP”)<sup>2</sup>.

9 4. In 1997, this Commission designated all of the State’s incumbent local exchange  
10 carriers as ETCs and as part of the same orders adopted the federal default eligibility criteria for  
11 Arizona’s Lifeline and Link-Up programs. There are also two income-based state programs in  
12 Arizona, the Qwest Telephone Assistance Program for the Medically Needy (“TAP”) and the  
13 Senior Discount Program (“ALITAP”) provided for under A.R.S. 46-701 *et seq.*

14 5. Since that time, the Commission has designated, *inter alia*, several wireless carriers  
15 as ETCs and as part of that designation required the carriers to offer Lifeline and Link-Up services  
16 to qualifying applicants, including but not limited to the provision of wireless services to  
17 customers living on tribal lands. In its Twelfth Report and Order, the FCC adopted more  
18 expansive Lifeline/Link-Up eligibility criteria for low-income consumers living on tribal lands.

19 6. **New Eligibility Criteria** The FCC’s Lifeline Order adopts several of the Joint  
20 Board’s recommendations intended to increase current participation levels, including a new federal  
21 default income-based criteria allowing participation if the consumer’s income is at or below 135  
22 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines (“FPG”).<sup>3</sup> The FCC estimates that adding an income-  
23 based criterion of 135 percent of the FPG could result in approximately 1.17 million to 1.29  
24 million new Lifeline/Link-Up subscribers. Of these new subscribers, approximately one in five

25 <sup>2</sup> See *Lifeline Order* at para. 7.

26 <sup>3</sup> In 1996, Congress passed “the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act” which instituted  
27 sweeping changes to several federal public assistance programs, including time limits and work requirements backed  
28 by sanctions. Participation is decreasing in many public assistance programs, including at least one program used to  
determine eligibility for Lifeline/Link-Up. At the same time, poverty rates in the U. S. are increasing by the traditional  
measure.

1 will be new subscribers to telephone service. States, such as Arizona, which have their own end-  
2 user assistance programs and are not federal default states may adopt their own income threshold.

3 7. The FCC's Lifeline Order also adopted two more program-based criteria, the  
4 Temporary Assistance to Needy Families program ("TANF") and the National School Lunch's  
5 free lunch program ("NSL") to its current list of the 5 program-based criteria discussed in  
6 Paragraph 3 above.

7 8. Arizona ETCs should be required to incorporate these additional eligibility criteria  
8 into their Lifeline and Link-Up tariffs. For income-based eligibility, Staff recommends that the  
9 Commission adopt a threshold of 150 percent of the FPG, which is consistent with Qwest's TAP  
10 program and most of the State's other customer assistance programs which utilize 150 percent of  
11 the FPG.<sup>4</sup>

12 9. **Additional Requirements:** The FCC's Lifeline Order also contains requirements  
13 pertaining to dispute resolution procedures, certification procedures, verification procedures,  
14 recordkeeping procedures, and outreach.

15 10. **Dispute Resolution Procedures:** In states that lack dispute resolution procedures  
16 applicable to Lifeline termination, the FCC adopted the Joint Board's recommendation that  
17 requires ETCs which have a reasonable basis to believe that a customer no longer qualifies for  
18 Lifeline to notify the consumer of his or her impending termination of Lifeline benefits and  
19 implement a 60-day period of time in which to demonstrate continued eligibility.

20 11. In Arizona, the Department of Economic Security ("DES"), administers Qwest's  
21 Lifeline, TAP and ALITAP programs. It is Staff's understanding that DES does not administer the  
22 other ETCs Lifeline programs, but does administer their ALITAP programs. Staff is of the  
23 understanding that DES utilizes a notification or verification process similar to the dispute  
24 resolution process adopted by the FCC for federal default states, but with a 45-day time period.

25 ...

26 ...

27 \_\_\_\_\_  
28 <sup>4</sup> See footnote 31 of the FCC Lifeline Order.

1           12.     Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the FCC process for default states to  
2 be utilized by all Arizona ETCs for all end-user assistance programs.<sup>5</sup> Under the FCC's process,  
3 the ETC would be required to notify consumers of their impending termination of Lifeline benefits  
4 by sending a termination of Lifeline benefits notice in a letter separate from the customer's bill. If  
5 a consumer receives such a termination notice, the consumer would have up to 60 days from the  
6 date of the termination letter in which to demonstrate his or her continued eligibility before  
7 Lifeline support is discontinued. If a dispute remains between the customer and the carrier on the  
8 customer's continued eligibility, the customer can utilize this Commission's dispute resolution or  
9 complaint process (A.A.C. R14-2-510) to resolve the dispute.

10           13.     **Certification Procedures:** Certification occurs at the time an individual is  
11 applying to enroll in Lifeline/Link-Up.

12           14.     The FCC determined that no changes were required to certification procedures for  
13 consumers qualifying under program-based criteria. Current rules require self-certification, under  
14 penalty of perjury, for federal default states, and allow states operating their own Lifeline/Link-Up  
15 programs to devise more strict measures as they deem appropriate.

16           15.     Under the federal default criteria, for consumers qualifying under the new income-  
17 based criteria, the certification must be accompanied by supporting documentation **at enrollment**.  
18 The supporting documentation can include the prior year's state, federal or tribal tax return, current  
19 income statements from an employer or paycheck stub, a Social Security statement of benefits, a  
20 Veterans Administration statement of benefits, a retirement/pension statement of benefits, an  
21 Unemployment/Workmen's Compensation statement of benefits, federal or tribal notice letter of  
22 participation in Bureau of Indian Affairs General Assistance, a divorce decree, or child support  
23 document.

24           16.     Under the federal default criteria, if a consumer chooses to submit any thing other  
25 than a previous year's state income tax return, the consumer must present three consecutive  
26 months worth of the same type of statements within that calendar year. Further, an officer of the  
27 \_\_\_\_\_

28 <sup>5</sup> Thus it will be necessary for DES to incorporate a 60 day period in lieu of the 45 day period it now uses.

1 ETC enrolling the consumer in Lifeline/Link-Up must certify, under penalty of perjury, that the  
2 ETC has procedures in place to review income documentation and that, to the best of his or her  
3 knowledge, the company was presented with documentation that the consumer's household  
4 income is at or below 135 percent of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. ETCs need only retain  
5 records of their self-certifications and those made by the applicant, and not all of the consumer's  
6 corroborating documentation. In addition, applicants qualifying under an income-based criterion  
7 are required to self-certify, under penalty of perjury, the number of individuals in their households  
8 because the Federal Poverty Guidelines change depending upon the number of individuals in a  
9 household.

10 17. Arizona ETCs shall ensure that procedures are in place to meet the minimum  
11 certification processes. These processes shall be put in place as quickly as possible but no later  
12 than 120 days from the effective date of this Commission's Order on this matter. All Arizona  
13 ETCs (or DES as the case may be) shall be required to adopt the certification procedures that  
14 provide for self-certification by the subscriber with supporting documentation provided at the time  
15 of enrollment.<sup>6</sup>

16 18. Finally, the FCC encouraged, but did not require, states to adopt automatic  
17 enrollment as a means of certifying that consumers are eligible for Lifeline/Link-Up. The Joint  
18 Board in its recommendation observed that participation rates for Lifeline/Link-Up increased in  
19 states that employed automatic enrollment as a means of certifying that consumers are eligible for  
20 Lifeline/Link-Up.<sup>7</sup> The FCC did not make this a requirement because automatic enrollment could  
21 impose significant administrative, technological, and financial burdens on states and ETCs. It is  
22 Staff's understanding that the current DES certification process is an effective one and the benefits  
23 associated with the development of an on-line certification process are uncertain at this time.  
24 Nonetheless, as discussed in paragraph 30 below, we ask Arizona ETCs to meet with DES to

25  
26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>6</sup> Since Arizona has its own Lifeline program, ETCs have some discretion in defining income for certification  
28 purposes. ETCs shall be required to adopt a uniform definition of income, for purposes of this requirement, with DES'  
input.

<sup>7</sup> Massachusetts, Texas, New York, New Jersey, Nevada, and Ohio are examples of states utilizing automatic  
enrollment in their Lifeline/Link-Up programs.

1 discuss the benefits associated with implementation of an on-line process in Arizona, and report  
2 back to the Commission.

3       19.    **Verification Procedures:** On an ongoing basis, states are required to establish  
4 procedures to verify consumers' continued eligibility for the Lifeline/Link-Up program under both  
5 program and income-based eligibility criteria. Verification is necessary to ensure that the low-  
6 income support mechanism is updated, accurate, and carefully targeted to provide support only to  
7 eligible consumers. DES administers all of Qwest's end-user assistance programs, which for  
8 Lifeline and ALITAP include annual verifications for each customer. For TAP, subsequent  
9 verification is dependent upon the doctor's initial certification period.

10       20.    All Arizona ETCs shall either verify each customer's continued eligibility in  
11 Lifeline and ALITAP on an annual basis or shall utilize the federal default requirement which  
12 obligates ETCs to verify annually the continued eligibility of a statistically valid sample of its  
13 Lifeline subscribers. ETCs may either obtain the necessary information from the state, or they can  
14 survey the subscriber directly and provide the results of the sample to the Universal Service  
15 Administrative Company ("USAC").

16       21.    For program-based eligible customers, proof of continued eligibility may be made  
17 in person or by sending a copy of his or her Medicaid card or other Lifeline-qualifying public  
18 assistance card and self-certifying, under penalty of perjury, that they continue to participate in the  
19 Lifeline-qualifying public assistance program.

20       22.    For income-based eligible customers, proof of continued eligibility may be made by  
21 presenting current documentation consistent with the federal default certification process discussed  
22 in Paragraphs 15 and 16 above. These subscribers must also self-certify, under penalty of perjury,  
23 the number of individuals in their household and that the documentation presented accurately  
24 represents their annual household income.

25       23.    All Arizona ETCs shall ensure that any necessary verification sampling be provided  
26 to USAC within 120 days from the effective date of this Order. All Arizona ETCs shall implement  
27 these new verification procedures as soon as possible but no later than 120 days from the effective  
28 date of this Order.

1           24.     The FCC encouraged, but did not require, states to adopt on-line verification  
2 systems, given the effectiveness and efficiency of verifying eligibility via on-line databases.  
3 Despite the benefits of on-line verification, the FCC recognized that current financial constraints  
4 may make it difficult for some states to implement on-line verification. As discussed in paragraph  
5 30 below, ETCs should be required to meet with DES to discuss the potential benefits of an on-line  
6 system and report back to the Commission on this issue as well as the other issues identified in  
7 paragraph 30.

8           25.     **Implementation and Recordkeeping:** For ETCs that administer their own end-  
9 user assistance programs, Staff recommends that the Commission adopt the federal default  
10 recordkeeping requirement which requires ETCs to retain ETC and consumer certifications  
11 regarding a consumer's eligibility for Lifeline for as long as the consumer receives Lifeline service  
12 from that ETC or until the ETC is audited by the Administrator. ETCs must also keep records of  
13 compliance with all FCC and Commission requirements governing the Lifeline/Link-Up programs.  
14 Such records should include, *inter alia*, documents demonstrating that ETCs have passed through  
15 the appropriate discounts to qualifying consumers, proof of advertising of Lifeline/Link-Up  
16 service, and billing records for Lifeline customers. All ETCs must retain such documentation for  
17 the three full preceding calendar years. Staff anticipates that DES will comply with any necessary  
18 recordkeeping requirements that are imposed by the FCC for continued state participation in the  
19 programs and the receipt of federal funds. All ETCs shall be required to certify to this  
20 Commission that they are in compliance with all state and federal requirements on an annual basis.

21           26.     **Outreach:** Currently, there are no specific federal outreach guidelines. The FCC  
22 notes in its Lifeline Order that according to an August 2000 report by the Telecommunications  
23 Industries Analysis Project, the Lifeline/Link-Up take rate almost tripled from 13.1 percent to 39.6  
24 percent when states implemented outreach initiatives designed to increase telephone penetration  
25 and participation. In its Lifeline Order, the FCC did not require specific outreach procedures for  
26 states, but instead provided guidelines for states and carriers so that they could adopt their own  
27 specific standards and engage in outreach as they see fit.

28

1           27.     The following outreach guidelines were adopted by the FCC: (1) states and carriers  
2 should utilize outreach materials and methods designed to reach households that do not currently  
3 have telephone service; (2) states and carriers should develop outreach advertising that can be read  
4 or accessed by any sizeable non-English speaking populations within a carrier's services area; and  
5 (3) states and carriers should coordinate their outreach efforts with governmental agencies/tribes  
6 that administer any of the relevant government assistance programs.

7           28.     All Arizona ETCs should be required to follow the FCC's outreach program.  
8 Within one year from the effective date of the Commission's Decision, all Arizona ETCs shall file  
9 a report with the Commission which identifies the results of the carrier's outreach programs  
10 utilizing these guidelines.

11           29.     Summary of Recommendations: Arizona ETCs maintain tariffs with the  
12 Commission which establish the terms and conditions for the provision of Lifeline and Link-Up  
13 services. These tariffs should be kept in compliance with the requirements established herein and  
14 as established in 47 U.S.C Part 54. All carriers who are ETCs shall amend the Lifeline and Link-  
15 Up provisions in their tariff to reflect compliance with the FCC's Lifeline Order and this Decision.  
16 The amended tariffs shall be filed within 60 days from the date of Commission's Order in this  
17 matter and in addition to current requirements, provide for the following as discussed above:

- 18           1,     Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up services if the consumer's household  
19                   income is at or below 150 percent of Federal Poverty Guidelines.
- 20           2.     Eligibility for Lifeline and Link-Up services based upon participation in the  
21                   TANF and NSL programs.
- 22           3.     Minimum certification procedures discussed herein including self-  
23                   certification by the subscriber through supporting documentation at the time  
24                   of enrollment. Adoption of a uniform definition of income for certification,  
25                   with input from DES.
- 26           4.     Minimum verification procedures discussed herein including either annual  
27                   verification or a statistically valid sample performed on an annual basis. Any  
28                   required sampling shall be performed within 120 days of the effective date of  
                  this Order and submitted to USAC.





1 interfaces have had an impact on subscribership rates in these other states, 4) cost recovery options  
 2 costs to cover the costs of an on-line interface of this nature; 5) whether centralized administration  
 3 by DES of all ETC end-user assistance programs would be beneficial; and, 6) outreach programs  
 4 that should be implemented to increase subscribership in Arizona. ETCs shall reference the  
 5 Docket No. of this Decision in their filing with the Commission.

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

7  
 8 **BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION**

9  
 10  
 11 CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

12  
 13  
 14 COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

15  
 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive  
 17 Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have  
 18 hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this  
 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of  
 Phoenix, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2005.

19  
 20  
 21  
 22 \_\_\_\_\_  
 BRIAN C. McNEIL  
 Executive Secretary

23  
 24 DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

25  
 26 DISSENT: \_\_\_\_\_

27 EGJ:RLB:lhm\MAS

1 SERVICE LIST FOR  
2 DOCKET NO. T-00000A-05-0380

3 Mr. Jeffrey W. Crocket  
4 Ms. Deborah R. Scott  
5 Snell & Wilmer  
6 One Arizona Center  
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

8 Mr. Charles V. Gowder  
9 Accipiter Communications  
10 2238 Loan Cactus Drive, Suite 100  
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85027-2641

12 Mr. John Zeiler  
13 Manager – External Relations  
14 TDS Telecom  
15 2945 Main Street  
16 Post Office Box 220  
17 Choctaw, Oklahoma 73020-0220  
18 (Arizona Telephone, Southwestern Telephone)

19 Edie Ortega  
20 Director – Government Relations  
21 Century Telephone of the Southwest  
22 1301 Pennsylvania Street, Suite 900  
23 Denver, Colorado 80203

24 Dr. Curt Huttzell, Ph.D.  
25 Director, State Government Affairs  
26 Citizens Communications  
27 4 Triad Center, Suite 200  
28 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180  
(Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Citizens Communications Company  
of the White Mountains and Navajo Communications Company)

Ms. Karen J. Ellison  
Midvale Telephone Exchange  
Post Office Box 7  
Midvale, Idaho 8364

Mr. Norm Curtright  
Staff Attorney-Policy and Law  
Qwest Corporation  
4041 North Central Avenue, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Phoenix, Arizona 85012

1 Mr. Eric S. Heath  
Sprint Law and External Affairs Department  
2 Sprint Communications  
100 Spear Street, Suite 930  
3 San Francisco, California 94105-3114

4 Ms. Judy Bruns  
5 Mr. Tom Bell  
Valley Telephone Cooperative  
6 725 East Maley  
Wilcox, Arizona 85644-0970  
7 (Copper Valley Telephone Company and Valley Telephone Cooperative)

8 Ms. Brenda Crosby  
9 Rio Virgin Telephone  
Post Office Box 189  
10 Estacada, Oregon 97023

11 Mr. Richard L. Watkins  
12 Chief Operations Officer  
Smith Bagley  
13 1500 South White Mountain Road  
Show Low, Arizona 85901

14  
15 Mr. Marc McLemore  
South Central Utah Telephone  
16 Post Office Box 555  
Escalante, Utah 84726

17  
18 Mr. John Hayes  
General Manager  
19 Table Top Telephone Company  
600 North Second Avenue  
20 Ajo, Arizona 85321

21 Mr. Jeff Compton  
Vice President-Carrier Relations  
22 Telscape Communications  
606 East Huntington Drive  
23 Monrovia, California 91016

24  
25 Mr. Jesse B. Tresler  
Verizon California  
112 S. Lakeview Canyon Road – CA501GC  
26 Thousand Oaks, California 91362-3811

27  
28

1 Ms. Mary-Ellen Kane  
2 HS Program Development Specialist  
3 Community Service Administration  
4 Department of Economic Security  
5 1789 West Jefferson Street, Site Code 086Z  
6 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

7 Michael W. Patten  
8 Roshka Heyman & Dewulf, PLC  
9 One Arizona Center  
10 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800  
11 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

12 Mr. Conley E. Ward  
13 Givens Pursley LLP  
14 601 West Bannock Street  
15 Post Office Box 2720  
16 Boise, Idaho 83701-2720

17 Mr. David A. LaFuria  
18 Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs  
19 1111 Nineteenth Street NW, Suite 1200  
20 Washington DC 20036

21 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson  
22 Director, Utilities Division  
23 Arizona Corporation Commission  
24 1200 West Washington Street  
25 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

26 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley  
27 Chief Counsel  
28 Arizona Corporation Commission  
1200 West Washington Street  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007